



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Adress COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/287,264	04/07/1999	PASCAL AGIN	Q053917	6095
75	90 06/27/2003			
SUGHRUE M	IION ZINN & MACP	EAK & SEAS	EXAM	INER
	LVANIA AVENUE NV N, DC 200373213	MOORE, JAMES K		JAMES K
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2681	7-7
			DATE MAR ED. 06/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	09/287,264	AGIN ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James K Moore	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under						
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	t be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
Claim(s) allowed: 2-18,21 and 25-27.						
Claim(s) objected to: 32,35 and 46-50.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23,28-31,33,34,36-45,51-57</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation to claim 28, "wherein said different type of algorithm includes an algorithm showing better performance than said different type of algorithm in fast changing environments and/or high mobile speed," raises a new issue that would require further consideration and search.

JKY (703) 308-6042

DWAYNE BOST

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600